

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/662,784	BAJAJ ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Robert A. Wax	1653	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowable

(1) Robert A. Wax.

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Charles P. Romano, PhD.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 24 April 2006

**Time:** 4:29 PM

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*Potential 102 rejections on certain fragments*

**Claims discussed:**

*all of record*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*US Patents 5,639,641, 5,639,863, 5,914,110 and 5,932,706*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Authorization was granted for the attached Examiner's amendment.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)